EXHIBIT C

L.E.

VS

BILL LEE, et al.

ARON JANSSEN, M.D. August 12, 2022



Jerri L. Porter, RPR, CRR, CLR, LCR

Chattanooga (423)266-2332 Jackson (731)425-1222 Knoxville (865)329-9919 Nashville (615)595-0073 Memphis (901)522-4477 www.elitereportingservices.com

1	
2	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE
3	NASHVILLE DIVISION
4	
5	L.E., by his Next Friends and
6	Parents, SHELLEY ESQUIVEL and MARIO ESQUIVEL,
7	Plaintiff,
8	vs. Case No. 3:21-CV-00835
9	
10	BILL LEE, in his Official Capacity as Governor of
11	Tennessee, et al.,
12	Defendants.
13	
14	
15	Videoconference Deposition of:
16	ARON JANSSEN, M.D.,
17	Taken on behalf of the Plaintiff
18	August 12, 2022
19	Commencing at 9:30 a.m. CST
20	
21	
22	Elite-Brentwood Reporting Services
23	www.elitereportingservices.com Jerri L. Porter, RPR, CRR, LCR
24	P.O. Box 292382 Nashville, Tennessee 37229
25	(615)595-0073

1 MS. BORELLI: Objection to form. THE WITNESS: I mean, I'm one of the 2 I specifically wrote the section 3 authors of them. 4 on social transition based upon the updated evidence 5 and support. So I would say, yes, I would plan to follow the recommendations. 6 7 But again, it's important to note that explicit within the standards of care is that these 8 are quidelines and that what's most important is the 9 10 patient and the family you have sitting in front of 11 you in your room. It's always going to be a tailored and individualized discussion about the 12 13 risks, benefits, and alternatives of every single 14 intervention that we have before us before any 15 decisions are made. 16 BY MR. HILDABRAND: 17 What chapters in the new Standards of Care 18 have you assisted in drafting? The child's -- the section in the mental 19 health section. 2.0 21 All right. Were drafts of the new chapters 22 circulated back in December of 2021? 23 MS. BORELLI: Object to form. 2.4 THE WITNESS: I don't know the dates. 25 They weren't publicly made available. They were

1 specific and for which feedback was solicited from 2 WPATH members. 3 MR. HILDABRAND: All right. Travis, can 4 you circulate Document HH? BY MR. HILDABRAND: 5 Dr. Janssen, is this the December 2021 draft 6 7 of the "Child" -- the "Child" section for Standard of Care 8 that was circulated back in December 2021? 8 9 I couldn't tell you the providence of this Α 10 document. 11 Have you seen drafts of the Standard of 12 Care 8 "Child" chapter? 13 It's been a highly iterative process, writing Α 14 this chapter, and there have been probably 15 40 different versions that have been circulated 16 among the authors over the course of the last year 17 and a half. So, I have no sense of this document 18 that I see in front of me of which of those drafts 19 this may or may not represent. 2.0 I also see there's a watermark that says 21 "WPATH Property, Confidential Draft." I'm not sure 22 how that enters into the record or what that means. 23 MR. HILDABRAND: This is not a document 2.4 that we obtained during discovery. 25 / /

1 BY MR. HILDABRAND: 2 Going down, do you see where it says, "Gender 3 This chapter employs the term 'gender Diverse: 4 diverse, 'given that gender trajectories in 5 prepubescent children cannot be predicted and may evolve over time"? 6 7 MS. BORELLI: Just a standing objection on the record based on concerns that Dr. Janssen has 8 9 flagged about the providence of this document. I don't feel comfortable 10 THE WITNESS: 11 discussing a confidential document that hasn't been released that's not in its final form. 12 13 BY MR. HILDABRAND: 14 All right. We'll just talk about the terms 15 and ideas here, even if it's not specifically for 16 this document. Here, the document says, "The term 'gender 17 18 diverse' includes transgender binary and nonbinary children, as well as gender diverse children who 19 2.0 will ultimately not be transgender." Regardless of whether or not this is what the 21 22 Standard of Care 8 will say, would you agree with 23 that definition of gender diverse? 2.4 MS. BORELLI: Object to form. 25 THE WITNESS: I would agree with that.

```
1
     education?
 2
                               Object to form.
                 MS. BORELLI:
 3
                 THE WITNESS:
                                No.
     BY MR. HILDABRAND:
 4
            If WPATH were to publish a chapter on eunuchs
 5
     and state that eunuch is a gender identity, would
 6
 7
     you agree or disagree with that statement?
                                Object to form.
 8
                 MS. BORELLI:
                 THE WITNESS: I have not seen a draft of
 9
10
     an alleged chapter on eunuchs, so I have no context
11
     in which I would be able to answer that question.
     BY MR. HILDABRAND:
12
13
            Are you aware that WPATH -- I'm sorry.
     0
14
            Is WPATH planning on publishing a chapter on
15
     eunuchs in the Standards of Care 8?
16
                 MS. BORELLI: Object to form.
17
                 THE WITNESS: I won't be able to tell
18
     you that until after it's published.
     BY MR. HILDABRAND:
19
2.0
            Have there been drafts of a chapter on
21
     eunuchs circulated at any point in the past
22
     two years?
23
                                Object to form.
                 MS. BORELLI:
2.4
                 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
25
```

1 (Overlapping speech.) This is a speaking 2 MR. HILDABRAND: 3 This is our deposition. Your side has objection. used many tweets and retweets of articles during the 4 course of depositions of our witnesses. 5 And this is something that he posted 6 7 less than two months ago about an ideal that he says is important to him. So I would like him to answer 8 9 the question, is F-that an important ideal to him. 10 MS. BORELLI: And I will register a 11 continuing objection that this has absolutely 12 nothing to do with any of the opinions offered in 13 his expert report and he is testifying in this case 14 as an expert. My objection stands. 15 BY MR. HILDABRAND: 16 Please answer the question. Is that an 17 important ideal for you? 18 An important ideal --Α 19 (Overlapping speech.) 2.0 MS. BORELLI: Same objections. 21 THE WITNESS: -- is how I'm choosing to 22 raise my daughters, which is different from my 23 professional ethics and the work that I do with the 2.4 patients that I work with. In the context of this particular tweet, 25

1 it is a value for me, as it is a value for all of the patients that I work with, that understanding 2 one's own wishes, desires, choices to be made with 3 an informed decision, that we want to understand 4 specific to this case, specific to the expert 5 testimony that I am providing, that when a decision 6 about medical care and psychiatric care is made, 7 that that decision is made with an understanding of 8 the risks, benefits, and alternatives of that 9 10 specific choice that is agreed upon and discussed 11 with the patient, with their family, and with the healthcare team. That is an ideal that I stand for. 12 13 BY MR. HILDABRAND: 14 Do you -- one last question. Do you view 15 this tweet as an example of advocacy? 16 MS. BORELLI: Same objections. 17 THE WITNESS: This tweet is a personal 18 It has nothing to do with advocacy in the tweet. 19 child psychiatric space. BY MR. HILDABRAND: 2.0 21 Have you answered -- have you understood the 22 questions today and answered to the best of your 23 ability? 2.4 I have. Α 25 That's all I have. MR. HILDABRAND: